County Re-entry Task Force Program Activity Report: October 2012 – December 2012

Data provided by County Re-entry Task Forces to Division of Criminal Justice Service, Office of Justice Research and Performance as of May 6, 2013

For the Following Counties:
Albany, Bronx, Broome, Dutchess, Erie, Kings, Manhattan, Monroe,
Nassau, Niagara, Oneida, Onondaga, Orange, Rensselaer,
Rockland, Schenectady, Suffolk, Ulster, and Westchester

This report includes information on CRTF activity during October 2012-December 2012, which includes information on Track I clients, the higher-risk prison releases served by the CRTFs.

This project was supported by Grant No. 2011-BJ-CX-K042 awarded by the Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. Points of view in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.


CRTF Track I (T1) Admissions, July 2012 – December 2012

There were 868 Track I clients admitted to the CRTFs from October through December of 2012, 69 more clients than in the previous quarter. Overall, the CRTFs met 86% of their Track I intake goal for the quarter.


	Min	Min	Ju	<u>ly</u>	<u>Aug</u>	gust	Septe	ember	Oct	<u>ober</u>	Nove	ember	Dece	<u>mber</u>	Q1 (Ju	ıl-Sep)		(<u>Oct-</u> ec)
County	Req per Month	Req per Quarter	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met	n	% Goal Met
Albany	20	61	24	118%	26	127%	26	127%	27	132%	24	118%	27	132%	76	124%	78	127%
Bronx*	10	31	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	<u>10%</u>	3	29%	0	0%	4	13%
Broome	11	32	17	161%	16	151%	26	246%	21	198%	12	113%	18	170%	59	186%	51	161%
Dutchess*	5	16	6	114%	11	210%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17	108%	0	0%
Erie	38	115	18	<u>47%</u>	8	<u>21%</u>	7	<u>18%</u>	12	<u>31%</u>	25	<u>65%</u>	25	<u>65%</u>	33	29%	62	54%
Kings	21	63	18	86%	27	130%	24	115%	41	197%	31	149%	39	187%	69	110%	111	178%
Manhattan	21	63	16	<u>77%</u>	7	<u>34%</u>	4	<u>19%</u>	12	<u>58%</u>	14	<u>67%</u>	16	<u>77%</u>	27	43%	42	67%
Monroe	37	111	10	<u>27%</u>	27	<u>73%</u>	12	33%	20	<u>54%</u>	7	<u>19%</u>	9	24%	49	44%	36	33%
Nassau	17	52	31	180%	20	116%	15	87%	19	110%	11	<u>64%</u>	16	93%	66	128%	46	89%
Niagara	10	31	7	<u>67%</u>	5	48%	11	106%	13	125%	14	134%	13	125%	23	74%	40	128%
Oneida	12	36	12	101%	17	143%	18	151%	17	143%	3	25%	27	227%	47	131%	47	131%
Onondaga	28	85	19	<u>67%</u>	18	<u>64%</u>	18	<u>64%</u>	30	107%	23	82%	20	<u>71%</u>	55	65%	73	86%
Orange	15	44	34	232%	28	191%	12	82%	13	89%	21	143%	17	116%	74	168%	51	116%
Rensselaer	8	25	14	168%	7	84%	12	144%	13	156%	4	48%	6	<u>72%</u>	33	132%	23	92%
Rockland	5	15	9	180%	7	140%	8	160%	9	180%	5	100%	1	20%	24	160%	15	100%
Schenectady	12	37	12	97%	14	114%	14	114%	12	97%	16	130%	12	97%	40	108%	40	108%
Suffolk	38	114	15	<u>40%</u>	12	32%	11	29%	31	82%	35	92%	15	40%	38	33%	81	71%
Ulster	10	31	8	<u>77%</u>	10	96%	4	38%	6	<u>58%</u>	11	106%	9	86%	22	70%	26	83%
Westchester	15	44	17	117%	15	103%	15	103%	15	103%	13	89%	14	96%	47	107%	42	96%
Total	335	1,004	287	86%	275	82%	237	71%	311	93%	270	81%	287	86%	799	80%	868	86%

^{*}Bronx began accepting clients in November 2012 and did not discharge any clients in November or December 2012. Dutchess' contract was renegotiated to begin October 1, 2012, and clients were first admitted under the new contract in January 2013, resulting in no admissions or discharges from September 2012 to December 2012.

CRTF Track I Admissions, October 2012 – December 2012 (cont'd)




➤ 24% of Track I clients admitted to the CRTFs were under 25 years old. For county specific Track I client age information, see page 9.




A small proportion (6%) of Track I clients were female. For county specific Track I client sex information, see page 9.

CRTF Track I Admissions, October 2012 – December 2012 (cont'd)




Nearly all (97%) Track I clients were moderate to high risk offenders (87%) or sex offenders (10%). For county-level Track I population information, see page 10.




- ➤ Nearly all (97%) of Track I clients were on parole when admitted to the CRTFs. County-level information can be found on page 12.
- ➤ Of Track I admissions on parole, case conferences were held for 699 (81%). County-level information can be found on page 12.

CRTF Track I Admissions, October 2012 – December 2012 (cont'd)




The needs that were most commonly identified by the CRTFs for Track I clients were employment programming (80%) chemical dependency treatment (79%), and social services assistance (78%). See pages 13-14 for additional county specific information.

CRTF Track I Discharges, October 2012 – December 2012




➤ Of the 711 clients discharged between October 2012 and December 2012, 445 (63%) clients successfully completed CRTF programs. 151 (21%) clients were discharged by CRTFs due to a new arrest or parole violation (as reported by the CRTFs). County-level data can be found on page 15.

CRTF Track I Discharges, October 2012 – December 2012 (cont'd)



➤ 615 (86%) clients spent at least 45 days in the CRTFs, with 470 (66%) enrolled more than 90 days. See page 22 for county specific information.




➤ 208 (29%) of clients discharged from the CRTFs were employed while in the CRTFs, with an additional 44 (6%) reported as unemployable. County-level employment data is available on page 21.

Program Participation among Track I Discharges*, October 2012 – December 2012

County specific data regarding program participation is located on pages 16-19.

- > 592 clients discharged during this time had a need for social services assistance assessed at intake. Of these, 504 (85%) had obtained social services assistance prior to discharge.
- ➤ 479 clients discharged during this time period had a need for housing assistance assessed at intake. Of these, 284 (59%) were in a private residence at discharge.

The following chart shows the proportion of clients who either successfully completed or were still engaged in a program at discharge for the other identified needs.




^{*}For clients who were discharged due to a new arrest or parole violation, program participation status reported by the CRTF is prior to the client's arrest/violation.

Service Gaps, October 2012 – December 2012

In addition to information on housing and social service utilization, needs assessment information is reported for 11 program areas. For each program area, the CRTFs record whether an assessment is conducted as well as the outcome of the assessment. The majority of Track I clients were assessed for all needs areas. Data by county is on pages 13-14.

The following chart shows the proportion of clients who did not have their need met while engaged in the CRTF because an appropriate program does not exist in that county or the client was placed on a waiting list.



- The needs areas with the largest proportion of Track I clients who did not get their need met due to lack of an appropriate program were mentoring (34% of clients with an assessed need) and family support (20%). See pages 16-19 for county specific information.
- Needs areas with the largest proportion of Track I clients still waiting for a program at discharge were sex offender treatment (19% of clients with an assessed need) and educational/vocational programs (9%). See pages 16-19 for county level data.

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Intakes: Age and Sex

	17 years	& under	18-24	years	25-34	years	35-49	years	50+	years	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	2	3%	19	24%	24	31%	25	32%	8	10%	78
Bronx	0	0%	0	0%	1	25%	2	50%	1	25%	4
Broome	0	0%	5	10%	25	49%	16	31%	5	10%	51
Dutchess	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Erie	0	0%	15	24%	19	31%	22	35%	6	10%	62
Kings	0	0%	23	21%	44	40%	38	34%	6	5%	111
Manhattan	0	0%	16	38%	11	26%	12	29%	3	7%	42
Monroe	0	0%	5	14%	15	42%	11	31%	5	14%	36
Nassau	0	0%	22	48%	14	30%	7	15%	3	7%	46
Niagara	0	0%	11	28%	17	43%	11	28%	1	3%	40
Oneida	0	0%	9	19%	24	51%	13	28%	1	2%	47
Onondaga	3	4%	22	30%	29	40%	17	23%	2	3%	73
Orange	0	0%	7	14%	19	37%	19	37%	6	12%	51
Rensselaer	0	0%	2	9%	7	30%	11	48%	3	13%	23
Rockland	0	0%	3	20%	8	53%	1	7%	3	20%	15
Schenectady	0	0%	9	23%	15	38%	13	33%	3	8%	40
Suffolk	0	0%	12	15%	27	33%	31	38%	11	14%	81
Ulster	0	0%	6	23%	8	31%	9	35%	3	12%	26
Westchester	0	0%	10	24%	15	36%	13	31%	4	10%	42
Total	5	1%	196	23%	322	37%	271	31%	74	9%	868

C	M	ale	Fen	nale	Unkı	nown	Total
County	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	68	87%	8	10%	2	3%	78
Bronx	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4
Broome	45	88%	4	8%	2	4%	51
Dutchess	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Erie	60	97%	2	3%	0	0%	62
Kings	109	98%	2	2%	0	0%	111
Manhattan	42	100%	0	0%	0	0%	42
Monroe	34	94%	0	0%	2	6%	36
Nassau	41	89%	5	11%	0	0%	46
Niagara	38	95%	2	5%	0	0%	40
Oneida	45	96%	2	4%	0	0%	47
Onondaga	64	88%	6	8%	3	4%	73
Orange	48	94%	3	6%	0	0%	51
Rensselaer	22	96%	1	4%	0	0%	23
Rockland	15	100%	0	0%	0	0%	15
Schenectady	38	95%	2	5%	0	0%	40
Suffolk	74	91%	7	9%	0	0%	81
Ulster	23	88%	3	12%	0	0%	26
Westchester	38	90%	4	10%	0	0%	42
Total	808	93%	51	6%	9	1%	868

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Intakes: Population Composition

County		erate to n Risk		n with Need		ax ration	Sex Of	ffender	Juve	enile	_	ments/ medical	Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	62	79%	1	1%	0	0%	13	17%	1	1%	1	1%	78
Bronx	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4
Broome	41	80%	3	6%	1	2%	5	10%	1	2%	0	0%	51
Dutchess	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Erie	51	82%	1	2%	0	0%	10	16%	0	0%	0	0%	62
Kings	111	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	111
Manhattan	41	98%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	42
Monroe	35	97%	0	0%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	36
Nassau	43	93%	3	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	46
Niagara	30	75%	1	3%	0	0%	9	23%	0	0%	0	0%	40
Oneida	34	72%	0	0%	0	0%	13	28%	0	0%	0	0%	47
Onondaga	68	93%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	73
Orange	41	80%	0	0%	0	0%	10	20%	0	0%	0	0%	51
Rensselaer	18	78%	0	0%	0	0%	5	22%	0	0%	0	0%	23
Rockland	15	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15
Schenectady	32	80%	1	3%	0	0%	6	15%	0	0%	1	3%	40
Suffolk	62	77%	4	5%	0	0%	15	19%	0	0%	0	0%	81
Ulster	25	96%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	26
Westchester	38	90%	3	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	42
Total	751	87%	20	2%	2	0%	87	10%	4	0%	4	0%	868

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Intakes: Time between DOCCS Release and CRTF Intake

County	7 days	or less	8-30	days	31-60	days	61-90	days	91-365	5 days	More tha	an 365 days	Total
200000	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	66	85%	12	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	78
Bronx	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	4
Broome	21	41%	25	49%	3	6%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	51
Dutchess	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Erie	27	44%	22	35%	7	11%	3	5%	3	5%	0	0%	62
Kings	4	4%	41	37%	18	16%	8	7%	27	24%	13	12%	111
Manhattan	14	33%	11	26%	4	10%	1	2%	6	14%	6	14%	42
Monroe	6	17%	12	33%	5	14%	3	8%	9	25%	1	3%	36
Nassau	42	91%	4	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	46
Niagara	27	68%	5	13%	4	10%	1	3%	2	5%	1	3%	40
Oneida	30	64%	10	21%	5	11%	1	2%	1	2%	0	0%	47
Onondaga	69	95%	2	3%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	73
Orange	45	88%	2	4%	3	6%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	51
Rensselaer	22	96%	1	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	23
Rockland	6	40%	8	53%	0	0%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	15
Schenectady	31	78%	3	8%	3	8%	0	0%	2	5%	1	3%	40
Suffolk	27	33%	27	33%	9	11%	3	4%	9	11%	6	7%	81
Ulster	7	27%	14	54%	1	4%	3	12%	1	4%	0	0%	26
Westchester	8	19%	22	52%	8	19%	2	5%	2	5%	0	0%	42
Total	456	53%	221	25%	70	8%	28	3%	64	7%	29	3%	868

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Intakes: Supervision Status and Case Conference Status

County	On Parole,	Case Conf		e, No Case	Not on Pa	role, Case		arole, No Conf	Total
•	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	68	87%	1	1%	9	12%	0	0%	78
Bronx	3	75%	1	25%	0	0%	0	0%	4
Broome	49	96%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	51
Dutchess	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Erie	61	98%	1	2%	0	0%	0	0%	62
Kings	111	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	111
Manhattan	24	57%	18	43%	0	0%	0	0%	42
Monroe	0	0%	32	89%	0	0%	4	11%	36
Nassau	44	96%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	46
Niagara	39	98%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	40
Oneida	36	77%	10	21%	0	0%	1	2%	47
Onondaga	73	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	73
Orange	17	33%	33	65%	1	2%	0	0%	51
Rensselaer	23	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	23
Rockland	15	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15
Schenectady	36	90%	1	3%	3	8%	0	0%	40
Suffolk	32	40%	48	59%	0	0%	1	1%	81
Ulster	26	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26
Westchester	42	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	42
Total	699	81%	147	17%	15	2%	7	1%	868

County	On P	arole	Max	Exp	Oti	her	Total
, · · · · · ·	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	69	88%	9	12%	0	0%	78
Bronx	4	100%	0	0%	0	0%	4
Broome	49	96%	2	4%	0	0%	51
Dutchess	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Erie	62	100%	0	0%	0	0%	62
Kings	111	100%	0	0%	0	0%	111
New York	42	100%	0	0%	0	0%	42
Monroe	32	89%	4	11%	0	0%	36
Nassau	46	100%	0	0%	0	0%	46
Niagara	39	98%	1	3%	0	0%	40
Oneida	46	98%	1	2%	0	0%	47
Onondaga	73	100%	0	0%	0	0%	73
Orange	50	98%	1	2%	0	0%	51
Rensselaer	23	100%	0	0%	0	0%	23
Rockland	15	100%	0	0%	0	0%	15
Schenectady	37	93%	3	8%	0	0%	40
Suffolk	80	99%	1	1%	0	0%	81
Ulster	26	100%	0	0%	0	0%	26
Westchester	42	100%	0	0%	0	0%	42
Total	846	97%	22	3%	0	0%	868

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Intakes: Service Needs

											County										To	otal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock-land	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
තු	Need	42	4	26	N/A	46	13	11	24	20	32	28	39	36	5	5	21	36	13	14	415	49%
Housing	No need	36	0	25	N/A	16	98	23	12	26	8	19	33	4	18	10	19	28	13	28	416	49%
Ho	Not Assessed	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	1	11	0	0	0	2	0	0	22	3%
S	Need	71	4	33	N/A	42	96	34	18	46	39	40	56	22	18	9	38	49	23	41	679	80%
Employ	No need	6	0	18	N/A	20	15	7	18	0	1	7	10	5	5	3	2	10	3	1	131	15%
En	Not Assessed	1	0	0	N/A	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	7	24	0	3	0	7	0	0	43	5%
ာ	Need	12	3	19	N/A	43	110	31	11	46	14	29	44	1	8	5	13	49	22	20	480	56%
Ed/ Voc	No need	65	1	32	N/A	19	1	10	25	0	26	18	21	35	15	9	26	11	4	22	340	40%
Ed,	Not Assessed	1	0	0	N/A	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	15	0	1	1	6	0	0	33	4%
>	Need	73	4	39	N/A	62	37	13	20	46	40	38	65	42	12	14	39	59	18	39	660	78%
Soc Serv	No need	5	0	12	N/A	0	74	24	16	0	0	9	7	1	11	1	1	6	8	3	178	21%
Soc	Not Assessed	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	0	0	0	1	0	0	13	2%
сb	Need	47	4	47	N/A	56	111	23	13	46	36	24	63	17	17	15	34	61	23	40	677	79%
n D	No need	31	0	4	N/A	5	0	16	23	0	4	23	10	22	6	0	6	5	3	2	160	19%
Chem Dep	Not Assessed	0	0	0	N/A	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	2%
Ix	Need	14	0	8	N/A	11	0	0	2	4	8	7	0	8	6	0	6	17	0	0	91	10%
Off Tx	No need	63	4	36	N/A	51	111	39	34	42	32	39	64	39	17	15	34	64	26	42	752	87%
Sex	Not Assessed	1	0	7	N/A	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	9	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	3%
#	Need	16	0	18	N/A	21	3	0	7	46	39	2	35	29	1	6	17	45	17	4	306	35%
Off Acct	No need	61	4	33	N/A	41	108	39	29	0	1	45	37	15	18	9	23	30	9	38	540	62%
JJO	Not Assessed	1	0	0	N/A	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	7	4	0	0	6	0	0	22	3%
	Total	78	4	51	N/A	62	111	42	36	46	40	47	73	51	23	15	40	81	26	42	868	

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Intakes: Service Needs (cont'd)

											County										To	otal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
\$	Nee	61	4	37	N/A	25	111	33	10	46	38	19	34	8	14	7	32	76	25	42	622	72%
Roh	No ne	ed 17	0	14	N/A	36	0	7	26	0	2	28	37	28	9	0	8	4	1	0	217	25%
Cox Rob Int	Not Assess	1 ()	0	0	N/A	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	15	0	8	0	1	0	0	29	3%
	Nee	33	1	21	N/A	3	6	1	0	46	33	0	7	1	21	3	35	26	23	41	301	35%
Mentor	No ne	ed 45	3	30	N/A	59	105	39	36	0	7	47	65	44	2	10	5	37	3	1	538	62%
Ž	Not Assess	1 ()	0	0	N/A	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	2	0	18	0	0	29	3%
<u> </u>	Nee	1 20	0	23	N/A	25	34	27	10	19	6	1	29	9	9	10	7	30	15	30	304	35%
A rose Mot	No ne	ed 57	4	28	N/A	36	77	12	26	26	34	46	43	25	14	5	33	46	11	12	535	62%
	Assess		0	0	N/A	1	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	17	0	0	0	5	0	0	29	3%
alth	Nee	18	3	9	N/A	24	9	3	7	9	10	11	20	9	5	5	16	24	24	8	214	25%
I He	No ne	ed 58	1	42	N/A	38	102	35	29	37	30	36	51	28	18	10	24	54	2	34	629	72%
Mental Health	Not Assess	. 7.	0	0	N/A	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	2	14	0	0	0	3	0	0	25	3%
	Noo	36	2	27	N/A	26	6	12	11	46	23	1	45	9	10	1	21	22	20	23	341	39%
Hom Sund	No ne	ed 42	2	24	N/A	36	105	27	25	0	17	45	28	34	13	10	19	55	6	19	507	58%
H _O	Not Assess	1 ()	0	0	N/A	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	8	0	4	0	4	0	0	20	2%
٥	Nee	1 0	0	0	N/A	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	2	12	4	0	27	3%
Vote Drog	No ne	ed 78	4	5	N/A	60	111	37	36	46	40	47	71	47	21	15	38	67	22	42	787	91%
Vote	Not Assess	()	0	46	N/A	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	0	54	6%
	Tota	1 78	4	51	N/A	62	111	42	36	46	40	47	73	51	23	15	40	81	26	42	868	

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Discharges: Discharge Reasons

County	Successful	l Discharge		ntarily ntinued	New	Arrest	Viol	ation	Dece	eased		ed to Other F Area	Moved to n	on-CRTF Area	Total
	n	%	n	%	n	0/0	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	0/0	n
Albany	61	72%	5	6%	1	1%	16	19%	1	1%	1	1%	0	0%	85
Bronx	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0
Broome	67	87%	0	0%	0	0%	10	13%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	77
Dutchess	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Erie	23	61%	1	3%	1	3%	7	18%	0	0%	1	3%	5	13%	38
Kings	9	13%	57	81%	0	0%	4	6%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	70
Manhattan	4	67%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	0	0%	0	0%	1	17%	6
Monroe	6	23%	13	50%	0	0%	7	27%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	26
Nassau	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	6	75%	0	0%	2	25%	0	0%	8
Niagara	5	45%	0	0%	1	9%	4	36%	0	0%	1	9%	0	0%	11
Oneida	55	93%	0	0%	2	3%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	59
Onondaga	37	60%	1	2%	9	15%	14	23%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	62
Orange	20	39%	8	16%	0	0%	23	45%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	51
Rensselaer	13	54%	0	0%	2	8%	6	25%	1	4%	2	8%	0	0%	24
Rockland	16	76%	0	0%	0	0%	5	24%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	21
Schenectady	31	56%	3	5%	3	5%	16	29%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	55
Suffolk	29	78%	4	11%	0	0%	4	11%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37
Ulster	14	61%	5	22%	0	0%	4	17%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	23
Westchester	55	95%	0	0%	0	0%	3	5%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	58
Total	445	63%	97	14%	19	3%	132	19%	2	0%	9	1%	7	1%	711

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge*

										(County										Т	otal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Monr oe	Nassau	Nia- gara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suf- folk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
	No Need	27	0	26	N/A	8	65	1	0	0	0	7	22	22	8	0	13	3	0	30	232	33%
	Halfway House	4	0	2	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	1	0	0	1	0	0	24	3%
	Residential Treatment Program	2	0	2	N/A	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	0	2	1	0	0	13	2%
Housing	Parole Resid Stabilization Prog	9	0	3	N/A	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	4	0	5	0	0	0	24	3%
ousi	Parole Community Based Resid Prog	0	0	2	N/A	14	0	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	7	31	4%
Η	Shelter- Housing Only	5	0	8	N/A	0	1	0	3	0	3	1	7	0	0	1	8	4	1	0	42	6%
	Shelter that includes ancillary services	0	0	0	N/A	10	3	0	3	3	0	0	7	0	1	1	0	0	2	9	39	5%
	Hotel/motel	8	0	7	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	1	1	0	22	3%
	Private Residence	30	0	27	N/A	4	0	4	19	2	7	49	24	12	8	18	24	25	19	12	284	40%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	3	0	53	N/A	17	2	2	19	0	0	3	12	7	10	4	9	5	5	1	152	21%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	1	N/A	2	0	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	4	0	1	N/A	1	8	1	1	0	1	1	39	34	1	0	14	7	0	9	122	17%
50	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	2	N/A	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	1	1	9	5	1	27	4%
Emp Prog	Referred, Client Declined Services	8	0	4	N/A	5	15	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	6	2	13	1	3	3	64	9%
I dt	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	3	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	10	1%
En	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	7	0	0	N/A	4	0	0	2	0	0	6	2	5	0	1	0	0	2	2	31	4%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	6	0	9	N/A	5	4	0	1	0	4	12	4	3	7	9	10	12	1	38	125	18%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	11	0	0	N/A	2	37	1	2	8	1	8	2	2	0	3	2	1	2	1	83	12%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	43	0	7	N/A	0	4	0	1	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	6	2	2	3	88	12%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	62	0	62	N/A	15	1	2	23	0	2	34	11	44	19	18	27	5	3	15	343	48%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	1	N/A	2	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	11	2%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	3	0	0	N/A	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	36	5	0	0	15	10	2	9	83	12%
ಹಿ	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	1	N/A	3	0	0	0	0	0	5	2	1	0	1	1	10	5	3	32	5%
Pr.	Referred, Client Declined Services	9	0	3	N/A	8	45	1	0	0	5	2	0	0	2	1	9	1	6	12	104	15%
Λος	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0%
Ed/Voc Prog	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	6	0	0	N/A	4	0	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	19	3%
Щ	Referred, Currently Engaged	4	0	9	N/A	4	3	0	0	0	0	6	7	1	0	0	2	8	5	17	66	9%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	1	0	0	N/A	1	19	1	2	8	0	2	4	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	41	6%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	1	N/A	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	9	1%
	Total	85	0	77	N/A	38	70	6	26	8	11	59	62	51	24	21	55	37	23	58	711	

^{*}Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge* (cont'd)

										C	County										To	tal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Man- hattan	Monroe	Nassau	Nia- gara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suf- folk	Ulster	West- chester	n	%
t	No Need	6	0	34	N/A	0	10	1	8	0	0	7	13	6	11	4	11	2	4	2	119	17%
Asst	Referred	3	0	0	N/A	1	3	3	0	0	0	5	11	0	0	1	6	3	1	0	37	5%
7	Applied- Pending	0	0	0	N/A	8	3	0	0	0	0	1	12	3	0	0	1	1	2	0	31	4%
Serv	Denied - Not Eligible	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	11	2%
Soc	Denied - DSS Sanction	3	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	9	1%
S	Receiving Services	73	0	43	N/A	28	54	2	18	8	11	39	23	42	11	13	37	31	15	56	504	71%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	43	0	20	N/A	1	0	0	14	0	1	42	15	25	1	1	15	1	2	4	185	26%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
So	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	12	20	3	0	7	1	0	0	45	6%
Chem Dep Prog	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	N/A	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	0	2	0	0	0	6	0	20	3%
eb	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	2	N/A	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	9	0	7	0	0	1	23	3%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	1	0	13	N/A	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	6	3	1	0	7	35	5%
len	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	N/A	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	3	14	2%
5	Referred, Currently Engaged	30	0	33	N/A	22	0	4	6	0	1	11	12	0	8	9	19	28	12	37	232	33%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	8	0	0	N/A	2	61	1	5	8	5	3	9	1	1	5	4	1	1	3	118	17%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	3	0	9	N/A	1	9	0	1	0	3	0	1	5	0	0	0	2	1	3	38	5%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	74	0	70	N/A	35	69	6	25	7	11	46	59	44	19	19	43	36	23	58	644	91%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	7	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	1%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	2	0	3	0	0	0	12	2%
×	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	13	2%
Off Tx	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
×	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Se	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	2	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	1%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	9	0	0	N/A	3	0	0	0	0	0	6	2	0	1	1	4	0	0	0	26	4%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	N/A	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	77	0	50	N/A	31	70	5	25	0	0	57	25	42	18	16	31	25	15	46	533	75%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	0	1	N/A	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	5	0	0	0	12	2%
රාර	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	28	8	3	0	17	0	0	0	57	8%
ro	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	11	2%
ct I	Referred, Client Declined Services	1	0	1	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	12	2%
Acct Prog	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Off.	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	6	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	15	2%
0	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	0	6	N/A	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	3	0	9	4	9	36	5%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	1	N/A	1	0	0	0	8	3	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	16	2%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	18	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	19	3%
	Total	85	0	77	N/A	38	70	6	26	8	11	59	62	51	24	21	55	37	23	58	711	_

^{*}Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge* (cont'd)

										C	County										To	otal
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutch-	Erie	Kings	Man-	Monroe	Nassau	Nia-	Oneida	Onon-	Orange	Renss-	Rock-	Schen-	Suf-	Ulster	West-	n	%
	NI (D.C. 1 NI NI 111 CC 1	22	0	0	ess NI/A	21		hattan	17	0	gara	42	daga		elaer	land	ectady	folk	4	chester	227	220/
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	22	0	9	N/A	31	0	3	17	0	0	43	19	16	19	1	17	25	4	0	226	32%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	N/A	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	27	0	0	0	14	0	0	0	43	6% 8%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	1	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	25	3	0	19	0	0	0	57	5%
Beh Int	Referred, On Waiting List	8	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	0	16	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	34	
eh	Referred, Client Declined Services	20	0	2	N/A	2	0	1	0	0	8	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	2	43	6%
B	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	1	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	5	1%
Cog	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	11	0	0	N/A	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	2	20	3%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	6	0	11	N/A	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	0	10	5	8	10	44	100	14%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	8	0	0	N/A	0	61	0	4	8	3	0	0	1	0	5	0	0	1	2	93	13%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful	8	0	55	N/A	0	9	1	4	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	1	1	3	90	13%
	Completion				,					-	-		-				-					
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	58	0	56	N/A	27	65	6	26	0	0	59	28	41	4	20	9	36	8	0	443	62%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	2	0	0	1	1	0	58	91	13%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	0	1	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	8	3	0	27	0	0	0	44	6%
ಶ	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	1	N/A	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1%
iri	Referred, Client Declined Services	5	0	2	N/A	3	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	9	0	17	0	10	0	54	8%
ntc	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
Mentoring	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	5	0	0	N/A	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	1%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	15	0	5	N/A	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	1	0	0	4	0	36	5%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	1	0	0	N/A	0	4	0	0	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	16	2%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful	0	0	12	N/A	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	14	2%
	Completion				,				, v			, ,		Ŭ	0	, ,	1	Ŭ				
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	69	0	46	N/A	21	59	0	17	0	9	54	29	13	15	13	47	29	14	8	443	62%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	9	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	28	2	9	0	0	0	0	0	51	7%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	28	0	0	7	0	0	0	38	5%
5	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	1	8	2	19	3%
Σ̈́	Referred, Client Declined Services	3	0	1	N/A	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	10	1%
er	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Anger Mgt	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	N/A	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	3	7	1%
1	Referred, Currently Engaged	4	0	3	N/A	8	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	5	0	38	68	10%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	1	N/A	3	9	0	4	6	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	28	4%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	26	N/A	2	2	1	4	1	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	4	47	7%
	Total	85	0	77	N/A	38	70	6	26	8	11	59	62	51	24	21	55	37	23	58	711	

^{*}Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge* (cont'd)

		County													To	tal						
		Albany	Bronx	Broome	Dutch-	Erie	Kings	Man-	Monroe	Nassau	Nia-	Oneida	Onon-	Orange	Renss-	Rock-	Schen-	Suf-	Ulster	West-	n	0/0
		,			ess			hattan			gara		daga	_	elaer	land	ectady	folk		chester		770/
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	80	0	68	N/A	20	64	5	22	8	8	44	48	29	16	18	40	35	6	37	548	77%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
00	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	17	0	0	7	0	0	0	28	4%
Pr	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	N/A	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	0	0	5	0	12	2%
lth	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	2	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	2	0	2	1	10	1%
[ea	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	N/A	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	7	1%
nta	Referred, Currently Engaged	5	0	7	N/A	12	1	1	3	0	1	13	7	4	5	0	5	2	8	18	92	13%
Mental Health Prog	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	N/A	2	5	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	13	2%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	63	0	36	N/A	36	52	3	26	0	0	59	20	32	16	21	23	36	11	26	460	65%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	38	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	1	2	0	49	7%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	0	2	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	17	0	0	16	0	0	1	71	10%
δC	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Supp Prog	Referred, Client Declined Services	6	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	2	0	0	16	0	1	9	42	6%
aa	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	N/A	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	1%
Sur	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	2	0	0	N/A	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	9	1%
Fam	Referred, Currently Engaged	14	0	1	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	6	16	44	6%
F	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	N/A	0	14	1	0	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	27	4%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful	0	0	0	NT / A	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	1%
	Completion	U	U	U	N/A	0	4	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	1	5	1%
	Not Referred, No Need Identified	83	0	73	N/A	38	70	6	26	7	11	59	60	50	23	21	52	36	23	58	696	98%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	0%
	Not Referred, Other Reasons	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
۵	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Pro	Referred, Client Declined Services	1	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	2	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
era	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Veterans Prog	Referred, Currently Engaged	1	0	2	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	8	1%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	N/A	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Total	85	0	77	N/A	38	70	6	26	8	11	59	62	51	24	21	55	37	23	58	711	

^{*}Program participation status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is their status prior to the arrest/violation.

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge: All CRTFs

	Employment Program										Voc	cation/ ational ogram	Depe	emical endency ogram		Offender Program	Acco	fender untability ogram	Beh	Cog navioral rvention		ntoring ogram		nger agement	He	ental alth Tx ogram	Su	amily pport ogram		terans ogram
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%								
Total Discharges	711	100%	711	100%	711	100%	711	100%	711	100%	711	100%	711	100%	711	100%	711	100%	711	100%	711	100%								
Clients with No Need	152	21%	343	48%	185	26%	644	91%	533	75%	226	32%	443	62%	443	62%	548	77%	460	65%	696	98%								
Clients with Need	559	79%	368	52%	526	74%	67	9%	178	25%	485	68%	268	38%	268	38%	163	23%	251	35%	15	2%								
Not Referred, No Appropriate Prog	9	2%	11	3%	1	0%	8	12%	12	7%	43	9%	91	34%	51	19%	0	0%	49	20%	2	13%								
Not Referred, Other Reasons	122	22%	83	23%	45	9%	12	18%	57	32%	57	12%	44	16%	38	14%	28	17%	71	28%	1	7%								
Referred, On Waiting List	27	5%	32	9%	20	4%	13	19%	11	6%	34	7%	4	1%	19	7%	12	7%	0	0%	0	0%								
Referred, Client Declined Services	64	11%	104	28%	23	4%	2	3%	12	7%	43	9%	54	20%	10	4%	10	6%	42	17%	1	7%								
Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	10	2%	3	1%	35	7%	0	0%	0	0%	5	1%	1	0%	0	0%	1	1%	4	2%	2	13%								
Referred, Other Non-Adm Reason	31	6%	19	5%	14	3%	4	6%	15	8%	20	4%	8	3%	7	3%	7	4%	9	4%	0	0%								
Referred, Currently Engaged	125	22%	66	18%	232	44%	26	39%	36	20%	100	21%	36	13%	68	25%	92	56%	44	18%	8	53%								
Referred, Did Not Complete	83	15%	41	11%	118	22%	2	3%	16	9%	93	19%	16	6%	28	10%	13	8%	27	11%	1	7%								
Referred, Successful Completion	88	16%	9	2%	38	7%	0	0%	19	11%	90	19%	14	5%	47	18%	0	0%	5	2%	0	0%								
Clients With Need Subtotal	559	100%	368	100%	526	100%	67	100%	178	100%	485	100%	268	100%	268	100%	163	100%	251	100%	15	100%								

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status Categories Summary

	Employment Program Education/Vocational Program		Chemical Dependency Program Sex Offender Tx Program		Offender Accountability Program		Cog Behavioral Intervention		Mentoring Program		Anger Management		Mental Health Tx Program		Family Support Program		Veterans Program					
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total Clients with Need	559	100%	368	100%	526	100%	67	100%	178	100%	485	100%	268	100%	268	100%	163	100%	251	100%	15	100%
No Appropriate Program	9	2%	11	3%	1	0%	8	12%	12	7%	43	9%	91	34%	51	19%	0	0%	49	20%	2	13%
Client on Waiting List	27	5%	32	9%	20	4%	13	19%	11	6%	34	7%	4	1%	19	7%	12	7%	0	0%	0	0%
Client Declined Services	64	11%	104	28%	23	4%	2	3%	12	7%	43	9%	54	20%	10	4%	10	6%	42	17%	1	7%
Other	163	29%	105	29%	94	18%	16	24%	72	40%	82	17%	53	20%	45	17%	36	22%	84	33%	3	20%
Client Was Enrolled	296	53%	116	32%	388	74%	28	42%	71	40%	283	58%	66	25%	143	53%	105	64%	76	30%	9	60%
Successfully Completed	88	30%	9	8%	38	10%	0	0%	19	27%	90	32%	14	21%	47	33%	0	0%	5	7%	0	0%
Currently Engaged	125	42%	66	57%	232	60%	26	93%	36	51%	100	35%	36	55%	68	48%	92	88%	44	58%	8	89%
Did Not Complete	83	28%	41	35%	118	30%	2	7%	16	23%	93	33%	16	24%	28	20%	13	12%	27	36%	1	11%
Client Was Enrolled Subtotal	296	100%	116	100%	388	100%	28	100%	71	100%	283	100%	66	100%	143	100%	105	100%	76	100%	9	100%

^{*}Other includes Not Referred, Other Reason, Client Deemed Ineligible, and Other Non-Admission Reason. Client Was Enrolled includes Currently Engaged, Failed to Complete, and Successful Completion.

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Discharges: Employment Status

Commit	Unemp	oloyable	Not En	nployed	Employ	ed P/T	Employ	Total	
County	n	0/0	n	%	n	%	n	0/0	n
Albany	7	8%	20	24%	35	41%	23	27%	85
Bronx	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0
Broome	1	1%	40	52%	17	22%	19	25%	77
Dutchess	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Erie	4	11%	25	66%	6	16%	3	8%	38
Kings	1	1%	55	79%	3	4%	11	16%	70
Manhattan	2	33%	3	50%	1	17%	0	0%	6
Monroe	0	0%	22	85%	1	4%	3	12%	26
Nassau	0	0%	7	88%	1	13%	0	0%	8
Niagara	0	0%	7	64%	2	18%	2	18%	11
Oneida	11	19%	41	69%	3	5%	4	7%	59
Onondaga	2	3%	54	87%	2	3%	4	6%	62
Orange	0	0%	43	84%	3	6%	5	10%	51
Rensselaer	4	17%	16	67%	0	0%	4	17%	24
Rockland	0	0%	13	62%	3	14%	5	24%	21
Schenectady	2	4%	33	60%	11	20%	9	16%	55
Suffolk	1	3%	27	73%	5	14%	4	11%	37
Ulster	3	13%	17	74%	1	4%	2	9%	23
Westchester	6	10%	36	62%	4	7%	12	21%	58
Total	44	6%	459	65%	98	14%	110	15%	711

October 2012 through December 2012 Track I Discharges: Days in CRTF

Country	<45	days	45-89	days	90+	days	Total		
County	n	0/0	n	0/0	n	0/0	n		
Albany	0	0%	11	13%	74	87%	85		
Bronx	0	-	0	-	0	-	0		
Broome	4	5%	13	17%	60	78%	77		
Dutchess	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
Erie	11	29%	11	29%	16	42%	38		
Kings	33	47%	12	17%	25	36%	70		
Manhattan	1	17%	1	17%	4	67%	6		
Monroe	8	31%	7	27%	11	42%	26		
Nassau	0	0%	6	75%	2	25%	8		
Niagara	6	55%	0	0%	5	45%	11		
Oneida	1	2%	4	7%	54	92%	59		
Onondaga	10	16%	11	18%	41	66%	62		
Orange	6	12%	12	24%	33	65%	51		
Rensselaer	4	17%	14	58%	6	25%	24		
Rockland	1	5%	6	29%	14	67%	21		
Schenectady	5	9%	12	22%	38	69%	55		
Suffolk	4	11%	20	54%	13	35%	37		
Ulster	2	9%	2	9%	19	83%	23		
Westchester	0	0%	3	5%	55	95%	58		
Total	96	14%	145	20%	470	66%	711		