

County Re-entry Task Force Program Activity Report: January 2012 - June 2012

Data provided by CRTFs to DCJS OJRP as of July 19, 2012

For the Following Counties:

Albany, Broome, Dutchess, Erie, Kings, Monroe, Nassau, Niagara,
Oneida, Onondaga, Orange, Rensselaer, Rockland, Schenectady,
Suffolk, Ulster, Upper Manhattan, and Westchester

The reports which the CRTFs submit monthly to DCJS underwent significant changes in January 2012, greatly expanding collection of data on needs and program participation of CRTF participants. This program activity report presents the first six months (January – June 2012) of data submitted to DCJS by the CRTFs via the new reports. Specific areas of coverage include client demographics, employment, needs, and program participation information, duration of CRTF client engagement, and reasons for client discharges. Service gaps (needs that are not assessed and programs that are not available) are also reviewed.

The new reporting process also included the implementation of a new client classification system, which categorizes clients into one of two “tracks” based on risk and needs. The CRTFs provide needs assessments and referral services to all clients, but the CRTFs also monitor program participation for Track I clients. The criteria for each track are as follows:

Track I – Intakes: Moderate to High Risk and Special Population Releases from New York State Prisons (Parolees, Maximum Expirations, and OCFS releases)

a) Moderate to High Risk

The greatest risk to public safety from individuals returning to their communities from state prison is presented by moderate to high risk individuals. Individuals released from state prison who have one or more of the following:

- i.* COMPAS Supervision Level 1 or 2
- ii.* DCJS Risk Score of 4 or higher for Any Arrest **or** Violent Felony (VFO) Arrest

b) Special Populations

Some special populations returning may not have risk scores or assessments or may be low risk with special needs that jeopardize successful reentry.

- i.* Women returning from state prison with high service needs
- ii.* Maximum Expiration Individuals who have been released from state prison without supervision within the past 2 years
- iii.* Individuals released from state prison with a conviction for a registerable sex offense
- iv.* Adolescents and juvenile offenders on parole or recently released from an Office of Children and Family Services (OCFS) residential facility
- v.* Persons released from state prison with mental illness or developmental disability, severe medical issues or those with hearing, visual or physical impairments

Track II – Intakes: Low Risk Individuals on Parole and Individuals Who are Not on Parole or Maximum Expiration Cases

a) Low Risk Parolees

Individuals with low risk scores may have needs that if addressed, will assist with their reentry into the community

- vi.* COMPAS Supervision Level of 3 or 4
- vii.* DCJS Risk Score of 3 or below for Any Arrest **and** for Violent Felony (VFO) Arrest

b) Not on Parole or Maximum Expiration Cases (i.e., local jail releases, post-parole population, federal releases, etc)

This project was supported by Grant No. 2011-BJ-CX-K042 awarded by the Bureau of Justice Statistics, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. Points of view in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.


CRTF Admissions, January 2012 – June 2012

There were a total of 1,547 clients admitted to the CRTFs from January through June of 2012; 1,325 (86%) of these admissions were Track I clients, exceeding the Track I goal for this six month period. Individual county Track I admission goal attainment varied considerably from 64% to 183%.


County	Track I Intakes			Total Intakes		
	Track I Intake Goal	# Track 1 Intakes	% Track 1 Goal Met	Total Intake Goal	# Total Intakes	% of Total Goal Met
Albany	90	109	121%	120	120	100%
Broome	48	56	117%	60	79	132%
Dutchess	48	51	106%	60	51	85%
Erie*	120	97	81%	150	105	70%
Kings	90	140	156%	120	230	192%
Monroe	120	77	64%	150	90	60%
Nassau	90	72	80%	120	74	62%
Niagara	48	48	100%	60	61	102%
Oneida	48	88	183%	60	93	155%
Onondaga*	90	75	83%	120	82	68%
Orange	60	105	175%	90	125	139%
Rensselaer	48	55	115%	60	61	102%
Rockland	48	37	77%	60	37	62%
Schenectady	48	43	90%	60	47	78%
Suffolk	120	94	78%	150	97	65%
Ulster	48	35	73%	60	40	67%
Upper Manhattan	48	68	142%	60	71	118%
Westchester	60	75	125%	90	84	93%
Total	1,272	1,325	104%	1,650	1,547	94%

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

CRTF Admissions, January 2012 – June 2012 (cont'd)




- 25% of Track I clients admitted to the CRTFs were under 25 years old. For county specific Track I client age information, see page 7.




- A small proportion (7%) of Track I clients were female. For county specific Track I client sex information, see page 7.

CRTF Admissions, January 2012 – June 2012 (cont'd)



- Nearly all (95%) Track I clients were moderate to high risk offenders (88%) or sex offenders (7%). For county level Track I population information, see page 8.
- Of Track I admissions on parole, case conferences were held for 975 (77%). County level information can be found on page 10.

Service Needs among CRTF Admissions, January 2012 – June 2012




* Data on Anger Management was only collected for part of the quarter.


- The needs that were most commonly identified by the CRTFs for Track I clients were employment programming (81%), chemical dependency treatment (78%), and social services assistance (77%). See pages 11-12 for additional county specific information.

CRTF Track I Discharges, January 2012 – June 2012

837 Track I clients were discharged from the CRTFs during this time period.



- 446 (53%) clients successfully completed the CRTFs. 232 (28%) clients were discharged due to a new arrest or parole violation (as reported by the CRTFs). County level data can be found on page 13.
- Clients spent an average of 112 days (median: 98 days) in the CRTFs. 678 (81%) clients spent at least 45 days in the CRTFs, with 488 (58%) enrolled more than 90 days. See page 20 for county specific information.



- 258 (31%) of clients discharged from the CRTFs were employed while in the CRTFs, with an additional 91 (11%) reported to be unemployable. County level employment data is available on page 19.

Program Participation among Track I Discharges*, January 2012 – June 2012

County specific data regarding program participation is located on pages 14-18.

- 556 (79%) clients with a need for social services assistance assessed at intake had obtained social services assistance prior to discharge.
- 381 (61%) clients with a need for housing assistance assessed at intake were in a private residence at discharge.
- Programs with the largest proportion of clients who initially declined, or subsequently did not complete, the program included educational/vocational programs (47% of those with an assessed need declined/did not complete), family support programs (43%), and cognitive behavioral intervention (40%).
- Programs with the largest proportion of clients who had successfully completed or were still enrolled in the program when discharged from the CRTFs included mentoring (78% of those who were enrolled in a program), mental health treatment (74%), and offender accountability (domestic violence) programs (72%).

Service Gaps, January 2012 – June 2012

- Needs assessment information is reported for 13 needs areas; for each needs area, the CRTFs record whether an assessment is conducted as well as the results. The majority of Track I clients were assessed for all needs areas. The needs for which the CRTFs most often did not assess Track I clients were mentoring and cognitive behavioral intervention (6% of clients were not assessed). Data by county is on pages 11-12.
- Some clients do not get their needs met due to lack of appropriate programming in the community. The needs areas with the largest proportion of Track I clients who did not get their need met due to this were mentoring (49% of clients with an assessed need) and offender accountability (34%). See pages 14-17 for county specific information.
- Needs areas with the largest proportion of Track I clients still waiting for a program at discharge were mentoring (11%) and sex offender treatment (10%). See pages 14-17 for county level data.

*For clients who were discharged due to a new arrest or parole violation, program participation status reported by the CRTF is prior to the client's arrest/violation.

January through June 2012 Track I Intakes: Age and Sex

County	17 years & under		18-24 years		25-34 years		35-49 years		50+ years		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	3	3%	28	26%	36	33%	29	27%	13	12%	109
Broome	0	0%	9	16%	23	41%	18	32%	6	11%	56
Dutchess	1	2%	18	35%	17	33%	14	27%	1	2%	51
Erie*	0	0%	18	19%	35	36%	35	36%	9	9%	97
Kings	0	0%	44	31%	53	38%	34	24%	9	6%	140
Monroe	1	1%	23	30%	21	27%	16	21%	16	21%	77
Nassau	0	0%	23	32%	26	36%	17	24%	6	8%	72
Niagara	0	0%	6	13%	22	46%	16	33%	4	8%	48
Oneida	0	0%	26	30%	35	40%	22	25%	5	6%	88
Onondaga*	2	3%	17	23%	30	40%	23	31%	3	4%	75
Orange	2	2%	22	21%	47	45%	28	27%	6	6%	105
Rensselaer	0	0%	11	20%	24	44%	18	33%	2	4%	55
Rockland	0	0%	7	19%	14	38%	13	35%	3	8%	37
Schenectady	3	7%	6	14%	16	37%	13	30%	5	12%	43
Suffolk	1	1%	19	20%	34	36%	30	32%	10	11%	94
Ulster	0	0%	10	29%	16	46%	8	23%	1	3%	35
Upper Manhattan	0	0%	9	13%	15	22%	33	49%	11	16%	68
Westchester	0	0%	22	29%	28	37%	16	21%	9	12%	75
Total	13	1%	318	24%	492	37%	383	29%	119	9%	1,325

County	Male		Female		Unknown		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	98	90%	8	7%	3	3%	109
Broome	44	79%	11	20%	1	2%	56
Dutchess	48	94%	3	6%	0	0%	51
Erie*	91	94%	5	5%	1	1%	97
Kings	139	99%	1	1%	0	0%	140
Monroe	75	97%	2	3%	0	0%	77
Nassau	66	92%	6	8%	0	0%	72
Niagara	47	98%	1	2%	0	0%	48
Oneida	84	95%	4	5%	0	0%	88
Onondaga*	66	88%	7	9%	2	3%	75
Orange	100	95%	5	5%	0	0%	105
Rensselaer	51	93%	4	7%	0	0%	55
Rockland	34	92%	3	8%	0	0%	37
Schenectady	39	91%	4	9%	0	0%	43
Suffolk	81	86%	13	14%	0	0%	94
Ulster	29	83%	6	17%	0	0%	35
Upper Manhattan	65	96%	3	4%	0	0%	68
Westchester	72	96%	3	4%	0	0%	75
Total	1,229	93%	89	7%	7	1%	1,325

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

January through June 2012 Track I Intakes: Population Composition

County	Moderate to High Risk		Woman with High Need		Max Expiration		Sex Offender		Juvenile		Impairments/severe medical		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	87	80%	5	5%	1	1%	13	12%	2	2%	1	1%	109
Broome	48	86%	5	9%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	1	2%	56
Dutchess	48	94%	2	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	2%	51
Erie*	82	85%	3	3%	1	1%	9	9%	1	1%	1	1%	97
Kings	140	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	140
Monroe	63	82%	0	0%	1	1%	13	17%	0	0%	0	0%	77
Nassau	67	93%	5	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	72
Niagara	37	77%	0	0%	0	0%	11	23%	0	0%	0	0%	48
Oneida	70	80%	1	1%	0	0%	16	18%	0	0%	1	1%	88
Onondaga*	68	91%	2	3%	0	0%	3	4%	2	3%	0	0%	75
Orange	93	89%	1	1%	0	0%	9	9%	2	2%	0	0%	105
Rensselaer	41	75%	4	7%	1	2%	9	16%	0	0%	0	0%	55
Rockland	34	92%	3	8%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37
Schenectady	32	74%	3	7%	0	0%	7	16%	0	0%	1	2%	43
Suffolk	84	89%	5	5%	0	0%	5	5%	0	0%	0	0%	94
Ulster	32	91%	3	9%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	35
Upper Manhattan	66	97%	1	1%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	68
Westchester	71	95%	3	4%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	75
Total	1,163	88%	46	3%	4	0%	98	7%	7	1%	7	1%	1,325

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

January through June 2012 Track I Intakes: Time between DOCCS Release and CRTF Intake

County	7 days or less		8-30 days		31-60 days		61-90 days		91-365 days		More than 365 days		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	84	77%	20	18%	2	2%	1	1%	2	2%	0	0%	109
Broome	6	11%	16	29%	11	20%	3	5%	16	29%	4	7%	56
Dutchess	23	45%	23	45%	1	2%	2	4%	2	4%	0	0%	51
Erie*	42	43%	34	35%	9	9%	2	2%	7	7%	3	3%	97
Kings	14	10%	39	28%	21	15%	9	6%	33	24%	24	17%	140
Monroe	17	22%	19	25%	17	22%	7	9%	14	18%	3	4%	77
Nassau	46	64%	25	35%	0	0%	1	1%	0	0%	0	0%	72
Niagara	29	60%	9	19%	0	0%	2	4%	8	17%	0	0%	48
Oneida	48	55%	18	20%	7	8%	4	5%	8	9%	3	3%	88
Onondaga*	42	56%	19	25%	11	15%	1	1%	0	0%	2	3%	75
Orange	77	73%	20	19%	2	2%	4	4%	1	1%	1	1%	105
Rensselaer	32	58%	17	31%	2	4%	1	2%	2	4%	1	2%	55
Rockland	22	59%	13	35%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	1	3%	37
Schenectady	30	70%	5	12%	5	12%	1	2%	1	2%	1	2%	43
Suffolk	51	54%	21	22%	6	6%	5	5%	9	10%	2	2%	94
Ulster	7	20%	14	40%	2	6%	3	9%	7	20%	2	6%	35
Upper Manhattan	35	51%	27	40%	5	7%	0	0%	0	0%	1	1%	68
Westchester	37	49%	21	28%	7	9%	1	1%	8	11%	1	1%	75
Total	642	48%	360	27%	108	8%	47	4%	119	9%	49	4%	1,325

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

January through June 2012 Track I Intakes: Case Conference Status

County	On Parole, Case Conf		On Parole, No Case Conf		Not on Parole, Case Conf		Not on Parole, No Case Conf		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	68	62%	20	18%	6	6%	15	14%	109
Broome	52	93%	0	0%	0	0%	4	7%	56
Dutchess	47	92%	4	8%	0	0%	0	0%	51
Erie*	80	82%	9	9%	8	8%	0	0%	97
Kings	137	98%	3	2%	0	0%	0	0%	140
Monroe	0	0%	65	84%	0	0%	12	16%	77
Nassau	72	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	72
Niagara	48	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	48
Oneida	44	50%	44	50%	0	0%	0	0%	88
Onondaga*	44	59%	28	37%	0	0%	3	4%	75
Orange	35	33%	68	65%	0	0%	2	2%	105
Rensselaer	41	75%	12	22%	0	0%	2	4%	55
Rockland	37	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	37
Schenectady	34	79%	9	21%	0	0%	0	0%	43
Suffolk	62	66%	32	34%	0	0%	0	0%	94
Ulster	35	100%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	35
Upper Manhattan	66	97%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	68
Westchester	73	97%	2	3%	0	0%	0	0%	75
Total	975	74%	298	22%	14	1%	38	3%	1,325

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

January through June 2012 Track I Intakes: Service Needs

		County																		Total	
		Albany	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie*	Kings	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onon- daga*	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Upper Man.	West- chester	n	%
Housing	Need	60	25	16	73	0	48	54	36	59	47	59	21	9	27	46	20	20	34	654	49%
	No Need	48	31	35	24	140	29	18	12	29	28	46	34	27	15	47	15	47	41	666	50%
	Not Assessed	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	5	0%
Employ	Need	90	39	47	62	122	38	72	41	71	68	96	30	31	34	62	33	61	71	1,068	81%
	No Need	11	17	4	35	18	39	0	7	17	7	9	23	3	8	28	2	5	4	237	18%
	Not Assessed	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	1	4	0	2	0	20	2%
Ed/ Voc	Need	21	47	34	53	116	31	71	32	52	69	41	6	13	22	58	32	35	48	781	59%
	No Need	79	9	17	44	24	46	1	16	36	6	61	47	19	20	31	3	28	27	514	39%
	Not Assessed	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	2	5	1	5	0	5	0	30	2%
Soc Serv Assist	Need	87	39	38	84	60	56	72	47	69	67	98	8	31	37	78	23	48	73	1,015	77%
	No Need	21	17	13	13	80	21	0	1	19	8	4	47	6	5	16	12	20	2	305	23%
	Not Assessed	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	5	0%
Chem Dep Tx	Need	61	27	43	78	140	32	72	45	26	59	100	48	33	38	77	26	59	68	1,032	78%
	No Need	47	26	8	19	0	45	0	3	62	16	3	6	3	4	16	8	4	7	277	21%
	Not Assessed	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	1	1	1	1	5	0	16	1%
Sex Off Tx	Need	16	2	1	14	0	8	6	11	13	3	13	9	0	8	5	1	1	2	113	9%
	No Need	92	53	49	78	140	69	66	37	75	72	91	46	37	34	89	34	63	73	1,198	90%
	Not Assessed	1	1	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	14	1%
Off Acct Prog	Need	7	17	6	59	0	6	72	27	11	64	16	7	12	9	39	12	3	16	383	29%
	No Need	101	39	41	38	140	71	0	21	77	11	87	36	25	33	52	22	64	59	917	69%
	Not Assessed	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	12	0	1	3	1	1	0	25	2%
Total		109	56	51	97	140	77	72	48	88	75	105	55	37	43	94	35	68	75	1,325	

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

January through June 2012 Track I Intakes: Service Needs (cont'd)

		County																		Total	
		Albany	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie*	Kings	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onon- daga*	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Upper Man.	West- chester	n	%
Cog Beh Int	Need	70	49	51	26	140	15	72	45	19	71	88	37	1	28	40	29	31	73	885	67%
	No Need	31	7	0	51	0	62	0	3	69	4	16	15	0	14	50	6	34	2	364	27%
	Not Assessed	8	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3	36	1	4	0	3	0	76	6%
Mentor	Need	33	49	12	16	18	2	72	32	1	27	40	54	0	20	26	26	0	74	502	38%
	No Need	70	7	39	70	122	75	0	15	87	46	63	0	6	23	60	7	59	1	750	57%
	Not Assessed	6	0	0	11	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	1	31	0	8	2	9	0	73	6%
Anger Mgt**	Need	10	19	42	22	13	29	30	4	3	48	51	20	7	11	25	13	18	48	413	42%
	No Need	64	16	0	49	81	32	26	30	70	11	21	24	13	21	39	13	34	15	559	57%
	Not Assessed	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	3	0	7	1%
Mental Health Tx	Need	25	18	29	39	4	16	7	17	25	36	28	8	16	16	25	22	6	22	359	27%
	No Need	83	37	22	54	136	61	65	31	63	39	70	47	21	26	66	13	61	53	948	72%
	Not Assessed	1	1	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	3	0	1	0	18	1%
Fam Supp	Need	21	10	4	28	9	1	72	2	3	57	31	4	5	20	22	24	11	43	367	28%
	No Need	87	45	47	64	131	76	0	46	85	17	68	39	18	22	63	10	57	32	907	68%
	Not Assessed	1	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	12	14	1	9	1	0	0	51	4%
Vets Prog	Need	4	4	1	2	1	0	3	0	3	5	4	2	0	1	2	0	1	0	33	2%
	No Need	102	51	50	95	139	77	69	48	85	70	101	53	37	41	87	35	54	75	1,269	96%
	Not Assessed	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	0	13	0	23	2%
Total		109	56	51	97	140	77	72	48	88	75	105	55	37	43	94	35	68	75	1,325	

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

** Data on Anger Management was collected starting in February and March.

January through June 2012 Track I Discharges: Discharge Reasons

County	Successful Discharge		Voluntarily Discontinued		Reached 120 days		Reached 180 days		New Arrest		Violation		Deceased		Transferred to Other CRTF Area		Moved to non-CRTF Area		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	36	58%	3	5%	0	0%	0	0%	2	3%	18	29%	1	2%	0	0%	2	3%	62
Broome	24	73%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	1	3%	7	21%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	33
Dutchess	27	55%	0	0%	2	4%	0	0%	5	10%	14	29%	0	0%	1	2%	0	0%	49
Erie*	51	55%	12	13%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	22	24%	0	0%	0	0%	6	6%	93
Kings	34	27%	85	66%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	7	5%	0	0%	0	0%	2	2%	128
Monroe	5	18%	8	29%	0	0%	0	0%	1	4%	14	50%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	28
Nassau	9	45%	0	0%	8	40%	0	0%	0	0%	3	15%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	20
Niagara	1	2%	0	0%	1	2%	18	43%	6	14%	14	33%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	42
Oneida	18	53%	1	3%	0	0%	0	0%	6	18%	7	21%	0	0%	1	3%	1	3%	34
Onondaga*	0	0%	0	0%	1	11%	1	11%	0	0%	7	78%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	9
Orange	12	17%	2	3%	28	39%	7	10%	0	0%	21	29%	0	0%	2	3%	0	0%	72
Rensselaer	21	42%	0	0%	4	8%	1	2%	0	0%	18	36%	0	0%	6	12%	0	0%	50
Rockland	6	32%	0	0%	7	37%	0	0%	1	5%	5	26%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	19
Schenectady	18	37%	2	4%	0	0%	7	14%	1	2%	15	31%	0	0%	5	10%	1	2%	49
Suffolk	20	47%	5	12%	0	0%	6	14%	4	9%	6	14%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	43
Ulster	5	33%	3	20%	0	0%	0	0%	6	40%	1	7%	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%	15
Upper Manhattan	14	34%	0	0%	16	39%	1	2%	1	2%	7	17%	0	0%	0	0%	2	5%	41
Westchester	17	34%	1	2%	4	8%	16	32%	3	6%	7	14%	0	0%	0	0%	2	4%	50
Total	318	38%	123	15%	71	8%	57	7%	39	5%	193	23%	1	0%	15	2%	20	2%	837

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

January through June 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge**

		County																	Total		
		Albany	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie	Kings	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onon- daga	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Upper Man.	West- chester	n	%
Housing	No Need	4	2	0	12	127	0	0	0	13	0	2	6	0	3	2	3	24	12	210	25%
	Halfway House	2	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	5	1	20	2%
	Residential Treatment Program	4	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	6	3	2	2	0	27	3%
	Parole Resid Stabilization Prog	11	2	0	5	0	0	4	5	0	2	7	1	0	12	0	0	0	0	49	6%
	Parole Community Based Resid Prog	2	0	1	19	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	4%
	Shelter- Housing Only	1	1	8	2	1	5	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	2	6	3	1	0	37	4%
	Shelter that includes ancillary services	1	0	2	5	0	3	10	0	1	4	0	9	3	0	1	2	0	12	53	6%
	Hotel/motel	5	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	6	6	1	6	0	0	0	0	28	3%
	Private Residence	32	26	37	39	0	19	4	37	13	3	54	22	13	20	25	5	9	23	381	46%
Emp Prog	Not Referred, No Need Identified	15	18	2	48	5	9	0	2	7	0	3	29	3	12	9	1	9	2	174	21%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	3	0	3	7	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	1	2	5	28	3%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	3	4	1	6	0	1	0	28	3%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	4	2	1	5	0	0	0	6	6	1	3	1	0	14	5	7	5	10	70	8%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	7	0	5	3	0	1	0	2	1	0	1	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	26	3%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	2	0	5	4	0	2	3	2	1	1	0	0	6	1	7	2	37	4%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	2	6	9	18	6	2	16	23	6	1	51	9	6	3	8	2	11	25	204	24%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	5	3	17	1	74	11	4	4	5	5	7	1	5	16	5	3	6	3	175	21%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	25	4	10	0	38	1	0	2	6	0	3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	95	11%
Ed/Voc Prog	Not Referred, No Need Identified	47	15	17	71	31	23	0	4	10	0	47	40	13	17	8	1	19	10	373	45%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	4	0	1	0	2	1	1	5	1	4	4	28	3%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	2	4	0	0	2	1	4	0	0	0	1	0	8	2	0	6	30	4%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	6	1	5	5	0	0	0	10	13	2	13	0	0	15	6	4	2	9	91	11%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	2	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	11	1%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	4	0	0	0	3	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	3	0	2	4	24	3%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	5	10	5	7	10	1	12	17	0	1	7	5	4	7	6	2	11	12	122	15%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	4	8	0	68	4	3	3	5	2	2	1	0	9	6	4	3	4	126	15%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	3	4	3	18	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	32	4%
Total		62	33	49	93	128	28	20	42	34	9	72	50	19	49	43	15	41	50	837	

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

**Status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is prior to arrest/violation.

January through June 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge** (cont'd)

		County																	Total			
		Albany	Broome	Dutch- ess	Erie*	Kings	Monroe	Nassau	Niagara	Oneida	Onon- daga*	Orange	Renss- elaer	Rock- land	Schen- ectady	Suffolk	Ulster	Upper Man.	West- chester	n	%	
Soc Serv Asst	No Need	4	3	8	10	26	2	1	4	3	0	3	46	1	7	2	2	12	2	136	16%	
	Referred	0	1	6	2	10	0	2	0	1	6	2	1	1	10	7	3	13	0	65	8%	
	Applied- Pending	1	0	8	2	2	3	0	1	5	1	17	2	0	1	0	1	5	1	50	6%	
	Denied - Not Eligible	0	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	0	2	16	2%
	Denied - DSS Sanction	4	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	14	2%	
	Receiving Services	53	27	25	75	89	23	17	37	22	2	49	1	15	30	27	9	11	44	556	66%	
Chem Dep Prog	Not Referred, No Need Identified	27	22	3	11	0	13	0	1	25	0	2	13	0	10	2	2	3	4	138	16%	
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	4	0%	
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	14	0	0	2	7	0	0	29	3%	
	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	1	4	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	3	0	9	1	1	1	0	24	3%	
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	24	2	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	11	47	6%	
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	2	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	13	2%	
	Referred, Currently Engaged	19	3	6	64	0	1	18	19	4	1	35	10	4	16	25	4	29	25	283	34%	
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	12	3	13	9	92	8	2	10	4	3	20	8	4	8	5	1	4	5	211	25%	
Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	2	4	0	0	36	2	0	12	0	0	10	0	8	2	6	0	2	4	88	11%		
Sex Off Tx	Not Referred, No Need Identified	53	32	49	85	128	25	20	35	31	9	70	42	19	43	42	15	41	50	789	94%	
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	1%	
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	1%	
	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%	
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	8	1%	
	Referred, Currently Engaged	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	6	1	0	0	3	0	2	0	0	0	0	18	2%	
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	2	0	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	10	1%	
Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%	
Total		62	33	49	93	128	28	20	42	34	9	72	50	19	49	43	15	41	50	837		

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

**Status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is prior to arrest/violation.

January through June 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge** (cont'd)

		County																	Total		
		Albany	Broome	Dutch-ess	Erie*	Kings	Mon-roe	Nassau	Nia-gara	Oneida	Onon-daga*	Orange	Renss-laer	Rock-land	Schen-ectady	Suf-folk	Ulster	Upper Man.	West-chester	n	%
Off Acct Prog	Not Referred, No Need Identified	58	28	41	74	128	28	0	9	29	0	63	42	12	40	16	11	41	42	662	79%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	2	3	0	1	0	0	0	26	0	0	0	4	0	8	14	0	0	1	59	7%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	9	1%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	4	0%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	13	2%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	0	0	12	0	0	17	6	2	0	1	0	4	1	7	0	0	7	57	7%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	0	6	0	0	3	1	1	5	3	2	2	0	1	1	0	0	25	3%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	6	1%
Cog Beh Int	Not Referred, No Need Identified	24	4	3	80	0	28	0	2	30	0	5	31	2	32	19	2	16	0	278	33%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0	1	4	0	1	2	17	2%
	Referred, On Waiting List	1	19	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	9	0	0	2	0	1	0	36	4%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	20	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	5	9	3	12	56	7%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	6	1%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	6	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	8	4	31	4%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	1	1	3	10	0	0	16	22	1	1	29	4	7	12	10	2	6	23	148	18%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	3	3	18	0	93	0	4	8	1	4	18	0	2	2	2	2	0	6	166	20%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	6	2	15	1	35	0	0	9	0	0	15	0	7	0	1	0	6	2	99	12%
Men tor	Not Referred, No Need Identified	46	1	39	91	128	28	0	3	34	7	57	12	8	22	23	4	41	1	545	65%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	2	3	4	0	0	0	0	34	0	0	10	0	11	13	16	1	0	49	143	17%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	28	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	32	4%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	8	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	8	0	4	1	5	0	0	30	4%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	1%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	3	0	1	1	0	0	17	5	0	0	2	17	0	3	1	4	0	0	54	6%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	1	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	1	2	0	7	0	1	0	0	16	2%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0%
Total		62	33	49	93	128	28	20	42	34	9	72	50	19	49	43	15	41	50	837	

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

**Status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is prior to arrest/violation.

January through June 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge** (cont'd)

		County																	Total		
		Albany	Broome	Dutch-ess	Erie*	Kings	Mon-roe	Nassau	Nia-gara	Oneida	Onon-daga*	Orange	Renss-elaer	Rock-land	Schen-ectady	Suf-folk	Ulster	Upper Man.	West-chester	n	%
Anger Mgt	Not Referred, No Need Identified	48	5	1	69	80	16	9	36	34	0	8	36	6	36	23	7	12	10	436	60%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	8	0	0	2	2	3	0	24	3%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	7	1%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	1	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	2	1	3	3	1	16	2%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	8	1%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	1	0	0	0	5	2	16	2%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	1	1	7	0	0	7	0	0	1	25	0	6	1	1	1	2	18	71	10%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	1	21	5	9	4	3	4	0	4	17	0	2	0	0	0	1	5	76	10%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	1	20	12	2	1	7	0	1	0	0	20	0	4	0	0	1	4	5	78	11%
Mental Health Tx Prog	Not Referred, No Need Identified	47	25	22	64	123	26	12	20	24	2	62	35	14	32	33	7	38	39	625	75%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	2	1	1	0	0	1	11	1%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	6	1%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	1	1	0	1	3	6	2	0	23	3%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	2	1	14	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	19	2%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	7	5	6	23	0	0	5	13	4	0	6	6	2	11	5	1	1	8	103	12%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	3	0	4	5	4	1	3	1	3	2	1	5	0	2	1	0	0	2	37	4%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	0%
Fam Supp Prog	Not Referred, No Need Identified	59	30	43	88	105	28	0	40	31	6	62	50	19	32	28	4	38	22	685	82%
	Not Referred, No Appropriate Program	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	5	0	0	1	18	2%
	Referred, On Waiting List	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	4	0%
	Referred, Client Declined Services	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	5	5	2	0	16	33	4%
	Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0%
	Referred, Other Non-Admission Reason	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	8	1%
	Referred, Currently Engaged	0	1	1	1	0	0	16	1	0	0	5	0	0	4	4	4	3	4	44	5%
	Referred, Discharged, Did Not Complete	0	0	1	0	16	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	4	0	1	33	4%
	Referred, Discharged, Successful Completion	0	0	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	11	1%
Total		62	33	49	93	128	28	20	42	34	9	72	50	19	49	43	15	41	50	837	

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

**Status for clients discharged due to a new arrest/violation is prior to arrest/violation.

January through June 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status at CRTF Discharge: All CRTFs

	Employment Program		Education/Vocational Program		Chemical Dependency Program		Sex Offender Tx Program		Offender Accountability Program		Cog Behavioral Intervention		Mentoring Program		*Anger Management		Mental Health Tx Program		Family Support Program	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total Discharges	837	100%	837	100%	837	100%	837	100%	837	100%	837	100%	837	100%	732	87%	837	100%	837	100%
Clients with No Need	174	21%	373	45%	138	16%	789	94%	662	79%	278	33%	545	65%	436	52%	625	75%	685	82%
Clients with Need	663	79%	464	55%	699	84%	48	6%	175	21%	559	67%	292	35%	296	35%	212	25%	152	18%
<i>Not Referred, No Appropriate Prog</i>	28	4%	28	6%	4	1%	5	10%	59	34%	17	3%	143	49%	24	8%	11	5%	18	12%
<i>Referred, On Waiting List</i>	28	4%	30	6%	29	4%	5	10%	9	5%	36	6%	32	11%	7	2%	6	3%	4	3%
<i>Referred, Client Declined Services</i>	70	11%	91	20%	24	3%	2	4%	4	2%	56	10%	30	10%	16	5%	23	11%	33	22%
<i>Referred, Client Deemed Ineligible</i>	26	4%	11	2%	47	7%	0	0%	2	1%	6	1%	1	0%	8	3%	19	9%	1	1%
<i>Referred, Other Non-Adm Reason</i>	37	6%	24	5%	13	2%	8	17%	13	7%	31	6%	12	4%	16	5%	9	4%	8	5%
<i>Referred, Currently Engaged</i>	204	31%	122	26%	283	40%	18	38%	57	33%	148	26%	54	18%	71	24%	103	49%	44	29%
<i>Referred, Failed to Complete</i>	175	26%	126	27%	211	30%	10	21%	25	14%	166	30%	16	5%	76	26%	37	17%	33	22%
<i>Referred, Successful Completion</i>	95	14%	32	7%	88	13%	0	0%	6	3%	99	18%	4	1%	78	26%	4	2%	11	7%
Clients With Need Subtotal	663	100%	464	100%	699	100%	48	100%	175	100%	559	100%	292	100%	296	100%	212	100%	152	100%

January through June 2012 Track I Discharges: Program Participation Status Categories Summary

	Employment Program		Education/Vocational Program		Chemical Dependency Program		Sex Offender Tx Program		Offender Accountability Program		Cog Behavioral Intervention		Mentoring Program		*Anger Management		Mental Health Tx Program		Family Support Program	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Total Clients with Need	663	100%	464	100%	699	100%	48	100%	175	100%	559	100%	292	100%	296	100%	212	100%	152	100%
No Appropriate Program	28	4%	28	6%	4	1%	5	10%	59	34%	17	3%	143	49%	24	8%	11	5%	18	12%
Client on Waiting List	28	4%	30	6%	29	4%	5	10%	9	5%	36	6%	32	11%	7	2%	6	3%	4	3%
Client Declined Services	70	11%	91	20%	24	3%	2	4%	4	2%	56	10%	30	10%	16	5%	23	11%	33	22%
Other**	63	10%	35	8%	60	9%	8	17%	15	9%	37	7%	13	4%	24	8%	28	13%	9	6%
Client Was Enrolled**	474	71%	280	60%	582	83%	28	58%	88	50%	413	74%	74	25%	225	76%	144	68%	88	58%
<i>Successfully Completed</i>	95	20%	32	11%	88	15%	0	0%	6	7%	99	24%	4	5%	78	35%	4	3%	11	13%
<i>Currently Engaged</i>	204	43%	122	44%	283	49%	18	64%	57	65%	148	36%	54	73%	71	32%	103	72%	44	50%
<i>Failed to Complete</i>	175	37%	126	45%	211	36%	10	36%	25	28%	166	40%	16	22%	76	34%	37	26%	33	38%
Client Was Enrolled Subtotal	474	100%	280	100%	582	100%	28	100%	88	100%	413	100%	74	100%	225	100%	144	100%	88	100%

*Data on Anger Management was collected starting in February and March.

**Other includes Client Deemed Ineligible and Other Non-Admission Reason. Client Was Enrolled includes Currently Engaged, Failed to Complete, and Successful Completion.

January through June 2012 Track I Discharges: Employment Status*

County	Unemployable		Not Employed		Employed PT		Employed FT		Total
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	n
Albany	13	21%	9	15%	30	48%	10	16%	62
Broome	5	15%	9	27%	1	3%	18	55%	33
Dutchess	7	14%	32	65%	1	2%	9	18%	49
Erie**	16	17%	60	65%	4	4%	13	14%	93
Kings	2	2%	82	64%	10	8%	34	27%	128
Monroe	3	11%	23	82%	1	4%	1	4%	28
Nassau	1	5%	10	50%	8	40%	1	5%	20
Niagara	3	7%	33	79%	1	2%	5	12%	42
Oneida	2	6%	26	76%	4	12%	2	6%	34
Onondaga**	0	0%	8	89%	1	11%	0	0%	9
Orange	6	8%	46	64%	15	21%	5	7%	72
Rensselaer	4	8%	32	64%	4	8%	10	20%	50
Rockland	0	0%	17	89%	1	5%	1	5%	19
Schenectady	14	29%	22	45%	6	12%	7	14%	49
Suffolk	4	9%	26	60%	7	16%	6	14%	43
Ulster	3	20%	8	53%	0	0%	4	27%	15
Upper Manhattan	2	5%	18	44%	11	27%	10	24%	41
Westchester	6	12%	27	54%	6	12%	11	22%	50
Total	91	11%	488	58%	111	13%	147	18%	837

*Employment Status is the highest level of employment obtained during CRTF enrollment.

**Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.

January through June 2012 Track I Discharges: Days in CRTF

County	<45 days		45-89 days		90+ days		Total	County	Mean	Median	Minimum	Maximum	n
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n						
Albany	2	3%	17	27%	43	69%	62	Albany	104	99	8	264	62
Broome	2	6%	9	27%	22	67%	33	Broome	112	119	17	202	33
Dutchess	10	20%	21	43%	18	37%	49	Dutchess	86	79	16	300	49
Erie*	41	44%	33	35%	19	20%	93	Erie*	62	52	0	239	93
Kings	36	28%	18	14%	74	58%	128	Kings	105	99	0	381	128
Monroe	6	21%	7	25%	15	54%	28	Monroe	119	93	5	361	28
Nassau	0	0%	3	15%	17	85%	20	Nassau	111	111	87	139	20
Niagara	5	12%	7	17%	30	71%	42	Niagara	169	164	9	763	42
Oneida	7	21%	7	21%	20	59%	34	Oneida	97	110	10	177	34
Onondaga*	5	56%	1	11%	3	33%	9	Onondaga*	90	38	14	293	9
Orange	9	13%	11	15%	52	72%	72	Orange	110	107	19	451	72
Rensselaer	12	24%	14	28%	24	48%	50	Rensselaer	91	78	0	225	50
Rockland	3	16%	3	16%	13	68%	19	Rockland	83	97	19	119	19
Schenectady	2	4%	10	20%	37	76%	49	Schenectady	194	156	34	506	49
Suffolk	6	14%	5	12%	32	74%	43	Suffolk	165	160	2	378	43
Ulster	4	27%	6	40%	5	33%	15	Ulster	101	61	32	418	15
Upper Manhattan	3	7%	15	37%	23	56%	41	Upper Manhattan	87	90	7	152	41
Westchester	6	12%	3	6%	41	82%	50	Westchester	150	154	14	294	50
Total	159	19%	190	23%	488	58%	837	Total	112	98	0	763	837

*Data from Erie and Onondaga Counties is only through May 2012.